# 

## Renewable energy at water utilities

WARES Conference June 4<sup>th</sup>, 2014, Oulu, Finland

Eva Pongrácz

University of Oulu, NorTech Oulu

Periphery Programme

Northern





European Regional Development Fund

# **UCGAS** water asset renewable energy solutions

- WARES is a 2-year strategic Northern Periphery Programme project which explores the opportunities to generate renewable energy at water utility assets
- The focus is on sites with previously unused, hidden potential.
- The outcomes of the project will be used to propose a scheme of policy refinements for each region.



n Surope a Northern Periodesty for a soutainable and prosperous Riture



European Union European Regional Development Fund

## **JJGGS** Partnership

Narvik Science Park

Norut, Narvik

University of Oulu

International Recycling and Resources Institute

**Action Renewables** 

Mayo County Council

Clár ICH

# **LGGS** Activities

#### WARES pilot sites explore opportunities for

- micro-hydro, in-pipe hydro
- small and medium-scale wind energy
- solar power
- energy from biosolids
- waste heat from wastewater

#### Provide practical solutions to utilize these assets

- Technical and economic assessment, financial plans
- Assess also the social impact of renewable energy investments

### The water - energy nexus

- Two of the most fundamental resources driving civilization
- Intrinsically interlinked
  - Energy is consumed at every stage of the water supply chain
  - Water is a key resource in energy generation
- Both resources are limiting the other
- Both are running short
  - Justification to view them together





### How much water is required to generate 1MWh of electricity



Gas/steam combined cycle 28,000 – 75,000 litres



Coal and oil 80,000 – 190,000 litres



Nuclear *95,000 – 220,000 litres* 



#### How much energy is required to deliver 1 million litres of clean water?



Lake or river ~370 kWh





Wastewater 620–870 kWh

#### Case Oulu, 2012:

10,534,371 m<sup>3</sup> drinking water 6,320,623 kWh electricity

= 1 ML drinking water "costs" 600 kWh

17,504,819 m<sup>3</sup> wastewater treated 5,731,943 kWh electricity

= 1 ML wastewater treatment ~300 kWh



Seawater 2 580 – 4 360 kWh

# Energy intensity of water services - drinking water

### Pumping!

- The largest energy consumer at drinking water side
- Can cover up to 70 80 % of overall electricity use
- Especially pumping groundwater
  - Elevate from lower source up to the treatment plant
    - In some places (e.g. San Diego) it was found that producing water even from wastewater was more energy efficient!
      - On the other hand, groundwater often require less purification...

### Energy intensity of water services - wastewater

#### Sludge treatment

- Aeration processes
  - 50% electricity of ww-treatment plants!
- Primary clarifiers
- Dewatering solids
- Pumping
- Advanced treatment processes
  - UV processes
  - Membrane technologies
- Space heating costs
  - Can be considerable in cold climates!





Water = Energy down the drain?

- Water conservation lowers energy use considerably
- End-use of water consumes more energy that any other part of the urban water conveyance and treatment cycle
  - Especially energy intensive uses like washing clothes and taking showers...



### Need to co-manage energy and water resources



- There is an inherent connection between energy and water use
- Despite this inherent connection, it's actually uncommon to see energy and water utilities collaborating to identify best practices to save energy and water
- If energy and water utilities worked together, their could uncover joint cost-saving solutions
- Would save more money and utilities could share data to better understand their holistic energy-water footprint
- Water scarcity is largely absent from the debate over which energy sources are going to be the most reliable in our energy future

### Water footprint meets carbon footprint

00

Carbon Footprint addresses the EU Climate Objectives

The Water Footprint is informative for EU water policies

# A new way of providing environmental services?

- Since the mid nineteenth century urban sanitation in industrialized countries has been characterized by centralized sewers
- This system has become such an established standard that both the reasoning behind its development and its suitability and sustainability in the twenty-first century has long gone unquestioned
- Infrastructures for energy and water supply, as well as waste and wastewater management in contemporary cities are based on complex centralized supply, collection and disposal systems
- Among the well-known advantages, they have system immanent disadvantages, which are barriers for effective integrated resource management.

### From centralized to decentralized – Parallel linear flows to synergies

- New and innovative urban infrastructures, which are based on the integrated management of resources, such as water, waste and energy
- Can contribute significantly to the reduction of resource consumption and related emissions as well as to the sustainable development of cities
- Such structures are based on decentralized systems that, in contrast to centralized systems based on linear resource flows, allows for synergies between different systems

# Toward an integrated resources management

- The biggest challenge is the introduction of adapted operation and management structures for these new structures
- There are also significant differences in legal and institutional framework of specific regions and nations
- Ultimately, these decisions will have to be made in concert with issues regarding land-use, preservation of biodiversity, etc...



### Step toward a closed loop recycling and zeroemission society!